

Benefits Calculation

May 18, 2018

www.pjm.com PJM©2018



Energy Benefits Key Elements and Recent Updates

- Simulation Years*
 - Simulate benefits for RTEP year only
- Trend Extrapolation*
 - Fix benefits beyond RTEP year at RTEP year benefits
- Benefit Calculation Period*
 - 10 years after project in-service date, capped at RTEP+10
- Sensitivities*
 - Mandatory sensitivities
 - To be defined prior to the beginning of market efficiency window
 - Have a B/C ratio threshold of 1.00
 - Optional sensitivities
 - To be defined during market efficiency project evaluation process
 - Do not have to pass any B/C ratio threshold

^{*} Updated since last MEPETF Meeting on 4/20/18

Benefit Adjustment for In-Service Date*

- It is PJM's goal to address energy constraints by the RTEP year, and to incentivize projects that are designed and proposed to be in service by RTEP year
- PJM will adjust energy benefits of projects that are proposed to be in service later than the RTEP year to account for any savings forgone due to later in-service date
- Example:

Proposal 1:

Annual benefit = \$10M Annual revenue requirements = \$4M In-Service year = RTEP

B/C Ratio = 2.50

Annual benefit = \$10M Annual revenue requirements = \$4M In-Service year = RTEP+1

Lost savings in RTEP = \$6M

B/C Ratio = 2.27

Proposal 2:

^{*} Updated since last MEPETF Meeting on 4/20/18



Capacity Benefits Key Elements and Recent Updates

- Simulation Years
 - RPM and RTEP years
- Benefit Calculation Period*
 - 2 years
- In-Service Date*
 - To be in service prior to establishing planning parameters for the next BRA planning period.
 - In the event a transmission expansion cannot be attained by the RPM year, PJM will consider capacity market solutions beyond RPM year, and before RTEP year.
- Benefit Adjustment for In-Service Date*
 - PJM will adjust capacity benefits of projects that are proposed to be in service later than the RPM year to account for any savings forgone due to later in-service date

^{*} Updated since last MEPETF Meeting on 4/20/18

Negative Benefits

- PJM does not include the zones which have an increase in total Net Load Payments (over project evaluation period) when calculating total energy benefits.
- However, annual negative benefits for transmission zones with a positive total benefit are included in project benefit calculation.

Zonal Benefit	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	 Year 14	Year 15	Benefit NPV	Zone included?
Zone 1	\$(751)	\$(348)	\$(348)	\$55	\$458	 \$3,020	\$3,261	\$8,932	Yes
Zone 2	\$385	\$231	\$77	\$(77)	\$(234)	 \$(712)	\$(766)	\$(1,515)	No

- Including zones with a total negative benefit in energy benefit calculation will
 - penalize zones that are paying high LMPs by not addressing the congestion
 - reduce the chance of approving market efficiency projects
 - make benefit calculation inconsistent with cost allocation