

Seasonal Capacity Resources Senior Task Force: Status Update and Voting Results




- Established in March 2016
- 13 meetings over 6 months (April September)
- Broad Charter Scope solution discussion included significant changes to RPM and seasonal resource participation including non-annual products
- 5 package proposals ultimately went to voting



Proposal Summary

- Package A Aggregation and Seasonal Offers proposal
- Package C Winter Performance Equivalent (WIPEs)
- Package D Base Capacity Extension
- Package E Capacity Performance Demand Response using FSL
- Package F Proposal A + Balancing Ratio changes



Voting Results




Data Summary

- Total unique responders: 34
- Total Companies represented: 190

Proposal A

Do you support Proposal A? (Aggregation and Seasonal Offers)	#	%
Yes	61	32.11%
Νο	129	67.89%
Abstain	0	0.00%
Total	190	100.00%



Jpjm

Proposal C

n=190

Do you support Proposal C? (Winter Performance Equivalent/ WIPEs)	#	%
Yes	40	21.05%
No	141	74.21%
Abstain	9	4.74%
Total	190	100.00%

74%


7

Jpjm

Jpjm

Proposal D

Do you support Proposal D? (Base Capacity extension)	#	%
Yes	82	43.16%
Νο	108	56.84%
Abstain	0	0.00%
Total	190	100.00%




Jpjm

www.		

Do you support Proposal E? (Capacity Performance Demand Response using FSL)	#	%
Yes	32	16.84%
Νο	146	76.84%
Abstain	12	6.32%
Total	190	100.00%


Proposal E? (Capacity emand Response using	#	%	
	22	10040/	1



Proposal E

Proposal F

Do you support Proposal F? [Aggregation and Seasonal Offers (proposal A) + Balancing ratio changes]	#	%	
Yes	63	33.16%	
Νο	124	65.26%	
Abstain	3	1.58%	
Total	190	100.00%	



Jpjm



Make a Change or Retain Status Quo

Would you prefer to make a change or retain the status quo?	#	%
Make a Change	89	48.37%
Retain the Status Quo	95	51.63%
Total*	184	100.00%

Note: *total respondent discrepancy due to selection of "ignore this option" . Those responses were removed.

