

# E&AS Revenue Offset Methodology Update

MIC Special Session – Reserve Price Formation Order
June 30, 2020

www.pjm.com | Public PJM©2020



"Therefore, we order PJM to make a compliance filing within 45 days of the date of this order proposing modifications to its Tariff to implement a forward-looking E&AS Offset that reasonably estimates expected future energy and ancillary services revenues for all Tariff provisions that rely on a determination of the E&AS Offset (e.g., Net CONE)."



- Focus on future value rather than historical
- Interest in how forward prices are derived
- Desire to use historical DA prices, rather than RT, to shape forwards
- Concern with dispatch methodology: current peak hour vs proposed optimal, and resulting revenue estimates
- Using cost-based offers plus 10% adder in lieu of price-based
- Debate over importance of liquidity of forwards



### Extension Request for FERC Filing

- Request for additional 30 days to August 5
- Time needed to further investigate and develop forward dispatch methodology that can be applied to individual units in addition to the reference unit
- Develop a more thorough proposal, in order to achieve FERC approval sooner than if we file a less robust proposal by the current deadline
- Need not delay BRA schedule



#### Methodology Update – Price Development

- Based on (Manual 15, Long Term Method 12.5.1 12.5.5)
  - RT monthly LMP forwards for delivery year (calendar year)
    - Average of 30 consecutive trade days
    - End date 5 months prior to BRA date
    - Power Western Hub, with 3-yr historical hourly basis to other hubs
    - Gas Henry Hub, with 3-yr historical daily basis to other hubs
  - Shaped with historical DA LMPs from most recent 3 years
    - Conducted for each of 3 years individually
    - Adjusted for days of the week



- PJM Forward Dispatch
  - Employ Plexos model for energy market dispatch
  - Use cost-based offers with 10% adder
  - May dispatch in any hour
  - Currently benchmarking against IMM GAMS model
  - Develop data interface to enable modeling of any unit specific requests, as needed



# Decision Matrix – Price Development

<b>Decision Item</b>	Choices	Decision	Reasoning
Price method	<ul><li>Heat Rate Output Scalar</li><li>Forward Input Scalar</li></ul>	Forward Input Scalar	Use of forward prices scaled for historical shape. Method approved, in Manual 15
Forward sample	<ul><li>Single day</li><li>Multiple days</li></ul>	30 day average	Provides a large sample to address anomalous data, but not too historic
Power hub	<ul><li>Western hub</li><li>Local hub</li></ul>	Western hub	Most liquid. Historical basis provides reasonable expectation of future local price
Gas hub	<ul><li>Henry hub</li><li>Local hub</li></ul>	Henry hub	Most liquid. Historical basis provides reasonable expectation of future local price
Basis method	<ul><li>Historical</li><li>FTR</li></ul>	Historical	Most liquid.
Day of Week Adjustment	<ul><li>Adjust</li><li>Do not adjust</li></ul>	?	Conducting analysis on impacts
Market for scalar	<ul><li>Real-time</li><li>Day-ahead</li></ul>	Day-ahead	Majority of units committed in day-ahead, thus volatility shape more applicable
Scalar sample	<ul><li>One-year</li><li>Three-year</li></ul>	Three year	Provides a large sample to address anomalous data, but not too historic

www.pjm.com | Public 7 PJM©2020



## Decision Matrix - Dispatch

<b>Decision Item</b>	Choices	Decision	Reasoning
Dispatch method	<ul><li>Peak-hour based</li><li>Optimal based</li></ul>	Optimal based	Removes peak hour limitations. More applicable to dispatchable unit operations
Offers modeled	<ul><li>Cost</li><li>Cost-based plus 10%</li><li>Price-based</li></ul>	Cost-based plus 10%	Simple, transparent and reasonable. Use of 10% adder approved as part of quadrennial review.
Planned Outages	<ul><li>Two-weeks in October</li><li>Availability factor</li></ul>	Availability factor	Removes possibility of missing two material weeks
Forced Outages	<ul> <li>Account for in EFORd</li> </ul>	EFORd	Adjusts revenues based on EFORd
Commitment Look Ahead	<ul><li>24-hour look ahead</li><li>None</li></ul>	24-hour look ahead	More closely matches bidding behavior
Daily start limitations	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>	No	Allows for economic operation
Emissions adders	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>	Yes	Included for units in allowance trading programs (NOx, SO2, CO2)
Gas mapping	<ul><li>PJM</li><li>IMM</li></ul>	PJM	Matches decisions agreed to in Quadrennial Review

www.pjm.com | Public 8 PJM©2020



#### Reference Resource Parameters

Parameter	Value	Notes
Min. run time	Two-hour	Same as PLS for Frame CT Units
Min. down time	One-hour	PLS for Frame CT is 1.25 hours however it is better in the simulation to have whole hours simulated.
Start cost	491 MMBtu plus \$6.93/MWh VOM	Average fuel use of CONE Area units VOM = \$5.83 MM + \$1.10 consumables
Heat rate	9.134 MMBtu/MWh	Average heat rate of CONE Area units at ISO conditions (59°F, 14.7 psia)
Unit capacity	367 MW	Average capacity of CONE Area units at ISO conditions (59°F, 14.7 psia)

<sup>\*\*</sup> this is for the Reference CT, additional information and parameters need to be developed for other resource types



- The price forwards and virtual dispatch will be used for CTs,
   CCs, coal and storage resource
- Units that are not dispatched: solar, wind and nuclear will use the methodology proposed in the March 18 filing
- Parameters subject to Parameter Limited Schedule (PLS) limitations: use PLS values
- Parameters not subject to PLS limitations: use what resource has offered previously
  - Will need to develop a statistic (mean, median, etc.) given many of these parameters can vary seasonally, daily or hourly
- Assume DR revenues are zero





