



Capacity Capability Senior Task Force

Melissa Pulong, Facilitator

Markets and Reliability Committee
September 17, 2020

PJM solicits feedback from stakeholders on proposed alternatives to the 10 hour requirement

March MRC first read and endorsement of the Capacity Capability Senior Task Force (CCSTF) problem statement and issue charge

Oct. 2019

Jan. 2020

Feb. 2020

Mar. 2020

Apr. 2020

FERC opens 206 paper hearing on the capacity capability of energy storage resources (i.e., the 10-hour requirement filed by PJM)

PJM submits motion to hold hearing in abeyance to pursue an Effective Load Carrying Capability (ELCC) construct with stakeholders

- **April 7** CCSTF kick-off
- **April 10** FERC grants abeyance motion, but with deadline of October 30, 2020* for all resources

*PJM requested deadline of January 29, 2021 in submitted motion

- To develop provisions necessary to establish an ELCC method for calculating the capability of limited duration, intermittent, and combination (limited duration + intermittent) resources
- Provisions to be considered include:
 - Timing of ELCC analysis for a given Delivery Year
 - Allocation of ELCC capability of a resource class to a specific unit
 - Simulated dispatch of energy storage resources and hybrid resources
 - Determination of resource classes

- Main areas of focus:
 - Timing of Class Assessment and Accreditation
 - Consideration of a Changing ELCC (marginal/average/vintage)
 - Simulated Dispatch
- Other components:
 - Class Distinctions & Definitions
 - Other Timing and Function Details
 - Technical Considerations
 - Performance Adjustment

Tasks	2020									
	Apr. 7	Apr. 27	May 20	Jun. 4	Jun. 22	Jul. 10	Jul. 16	Jul. 27	Aug. 7	Aug. 12
Education	█									
Interest Identification		█								
Develop Design Components		█								
Develop Solution Options			█							
Develop Packages					█					
Consensus Testing (non-binding poll)					█		█			
Task Force Vote										★
Key Work Activity (KWA) #6*										Post-Aug. 12

*A description of the KWA#6 analysis can be found in the CCSTF Issue Charge: <https://pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/task-forces/ccstf/postings/issue-charge.ashx?la=en>.
 CCSTF Work Plan: <https://pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/task-forces/ccstf/2020/20200807/20200807-item-02-work-plan.ashx>

Main Motion: Package A – No Transition (64% Support)

Alternate Motion: Package D – Joint Stakeholder (57% Support)

- Next Steps
 - MRC Vote: September 17
 - MC Vote: September 17
 - PJM Board Meeting: September 21
 - Deadline for FERC 205 filing: October 30

- CCSTF Materials: <https://pjm.com/committees-and-groups/task-forces/ccstf.aspx>

Appendix: ELCC Background

- ELCC analysis produces a class-based **derate factor** that, together with a unit-specific **performance factor**, sets the eligible MW (the “UCAP”) that intermittent resource classes (including wind, solar, run of river hydro, etc), limited-duration resource classes (including energy storage resources), and hybrid classes (such as solar-battery hybrids) can provide in the Capacity Market.
- ELCC replaces the status-quo derate factor, which is based on summer tests, summer output, or the “10 hour rule”, depending on resource type.
- ELCC results change when the resource mix and/or load shape changes.
- The ELCC analysis, derate factor, and performance factor would be updated each year.

- PJM has developed a robust ELCC method and software tool over 2 years.
- Discussion at the CCSTF has yielded improvements to the ELCC method and policy, including:
 - The simulated output of limited-duration resources, hybrids, and hydro.
 - The appropriate unit-specific performance factor.
 - Transparency and ongoing stakeholder engagement regarding the methodological details.
- Stakeholders have proposed various approaches to managing the changing ELCC results and derate factors.
- PJM views ELCC as a significant change, and supports the concept of a transition plan.

Appendix: 2d Draft Results

- ~~PJM internal, early draft results~~
~~– Significant revisions~~
- ~~July 10 – Public 1st draft results~~
~~– Significant revisions~~
- August 12 - Public 2d draft results
-minor revisions-
- Q3 – Potential further round of preliminary results
-Final data inputs and minor revisions-
- Currently targeting December 2020 for final ELCC results



The purpose of providing these results is in part to hear feedback on further revisions

These results may change in subsequent drafts

- The 2nd Draft ELCC Results
 - Reflect the new dispatch methodology discussed at the July 27th meeting of the CCSTF
 - Are based on the same portfolios used for the 1st Draft ELCC Results
 - Only include ESR and hybrids with 4-hour Duration (results for ESR and hybrids with 6-hour and 10-hour are not included)
 - Use generic features for Hydro with Storage resources (shown in next slide)

Deployment (in Gigawatts) for the 6 Scenarios

#	Wind	Solar	Storage (4,6, or 10 hour)	Storage (8 hour)	Solar + Storage Hybrid (Open Loop)	Solar + Storage Hybrid (Closed Loop)	Hydro w/o Storage	Landfill Gas	Hydro w/ Storage
1	12	7	0.4	5	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	2
2	15	11	0.9	5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.3	2
3	19	16	1.5	5	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.3	2
4	22	22	2	5	1	1	0.7	0.3	2
5	23	31	3	5	2	2	0.7	0.3	2
6	25	40	5	5	2	2	0.7	0.3	2



2nd Draft ELCC Results w/ New ESR as 4-hour Duration

#	Wind	Solar	Storage (4 hour)	Storage (8 hour)	Solar + Storage Hybrid (Open Loop)	Solar + Storage Hybrid (Closed Loop)	Hydro w/o Storage	Landfill Gas	Hydro w/ Storage
1	10%	65%	92%	100%	97%	97%	49%	58%	100%
2	9%	59%	86%	98%	96%	96%	48%	59%	97%
3	9%	49%	74%	95%	86%	86%	51%	63%	97%
4	9%	40%	75%	93%	85%	85%	51%	62%	94%
5	9%	33%	81%	94%	74%	73%	51%	61%	92%
6	9%	27%	79%	94%	71%	71%	51%	59%	94%



Summary of Potential Direction of ELCC Results

	Status Quo Capacity Value	Potential Directional Results
Tracking Solar	~60%	Starts off higher, might be lower after around 10 GW of deployment, potentially dropping at over 1 percentage point per GW of deployment.
Wind	~13%	Potentially somewhat lower
4-hour Batteries	40%	Much higher (~2X)
Pumped Hydro	ICAP	Potentially slightly or somewhat lower (also may depend on black start commitments)
Non-Pumped Hydro	ICAP	Ranging from similar to lower depending on parameters
Intermittent Run of River Hydro	ICAP	Lower
Landfill Gas	ICAP	Lower

Facilitator:
Melissa Pilong,
Melissa.Pilong@pjm.com

Secretary:
Jaclynn Lukach,
Jaclynn.Lukach@pjm.com

SME:
Andrew Levitt,
Andrew.Levitt@pjm.com

Capacity Capability Senior Task Force



Member Hotline

(610) 666 – 8980

(866) 400 – 8980

custsvc@pjm.com