

From: Ed Tatum [mailto:etatum@ampppartners.org]

Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 3:32 PM

To: Sims, Mark

Subject: RE: Initial General Questions on PPL Supplemental Projects Presented During the January 7, 2016 TEAC

Mark, here are some additional questions for our January 22 Sub Regional RTEP.

Please post these to both the TEAC and Mid-Atlantic site.

Additional Questions and Information for PPL:

1. Please provide a system map showing the entire set of facilities PPL is considering replacing.
2. Please provide the detailed criteria PPL uses to select and prioritize which aging infrastructure facilities are to be replaced (e.g. worst/oldest ones, performance (outage, other criteria), highest voltage, input from PJM(planning or operations)).
3. Please provide the comprehensive plan developed by PPL to address this construction activity. This would include all alternatives considered.
4. Please provide the current PPL design criteria and compare it to the facilities being replaced.
5. Please provide the results of all power flow modeling conducted, including cases and all reliability violations where the system was modeled with the aging facility out of service (i.e. not replaced)

Thanks!

Ed



From: Ed Tatum

Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 2:59 PM

To: 'mark.sims@pjm.com' <mark.sims@pjm.com>

Subject: Initial General Questions on PPL Supplemental Projects Presented During the January 7, 2016 TEAC

Mark,

As discussed yesterday, ODEC and AMP will be providing their initial questions for the subject projects so they may be addressed during the upcoming January 22nd Mid Atlantic Sub Regional RTEP meeting.

In the interest of time, attached are ODEC and AMP's initial General Questions relative to these projects. Please post these to both the Mid Atlantic and TEAC meeting sites.

We anticipate developing additional project specific questions and providing them as soon as they are available next week.

Relative as to whether these projects or any of the Mid Atlantic projects should be included in the RTEP model, AMP is trying to understand why it would be appropriate to include such Supplemental Projects that have not been finalized from the Local Plan or have been fully vetted in the Regional Planning meeting.

Thanks!

Ed