

# Transmission Planning Reliability Analysis Update

Sami Abdulsalam, Senior Manager

Transmission Expansion Advisory Committee March 7, 2023



**Topics Covered** 

- 2022 RTEP Window 3
- 2023 RTEP Window 1



#### 2022 RTEP Window 3

## Window Opened; February 24<sup>th</sup> 2023

- PJM posted preliminary planning basecases on January 31<sup>st</sup> 2023
- 60 Day Window Closing April 25<sup>th</sup> 2023

# • Purpose:

- Address reliability needs in the Dominion and APS zones primarily associated with Data Center Load forecasts (up to 7,500 MWs by 2027-28)
- Seeking robust and flexible solutions to address the reliability needs in those specific areas



# 2022 RTEP Window 3 Criterion Applied by PJM

- 2027-28 Summer / Winter
  - 2027-28 Summer
  - Baseline Thermal and Voltage N-1 Contingency Analysis
  - Generator Deliverability and Common Mode Reliability Analysis
  - N-1-1 Thermal and Voltage Analysis and Voltage Collapse
  - Load Deliverability Thermal and Voltage Analysis
  - Dynamic Stability Assessment
- 2027-28 Light Load\*
  - Baseline Thermal and Voltage N-1 Contingency Analysis
  - Generator Deliverability and Common Mode Reliability Analysis
  - \*PJM aims to provide the Light Load cases early in March 2023, on or before March 6<sup>th</sup>



### 2022 Window 3 - Flowgates Exclusions

- Immediate Need Exclusion
- Below 200kV Exclusion
- Substation Equipment Exclusion
- Supplemental Projects scope
- Areas external to the study area that will be covered part of upcoming 2023 RTEP



#### 2022 RTEP Window 3 - Objective

- Develop robust, holistic and expandable solutions that address the 2027-28 baseline violations associated with:
  - Local constraints: resulting from directly serving the data center loads in APS and Dominion zones through the respective 230 kV networks and into the points of delivery:
    - Goose Creek- Ashburn Mars Wishing Star and Brambleton
  - Regional constraints resulting from imports into load center areas (500 kV primarily):
    - Doubs Goose Creek
    - Front Royal Morrisville Vint Hill Loudoun/Mosby
    - Meadow Brook Loudoun/Mosby
    - Morrisville Bristers Ox
    - Peach Bottom Conastone Brighton Doubs
  - Needed reactive power VAR reinforcements, both static and dynamic as deemed necessary, to address the reactive power needs of the system for the 2027-28 baseline scenario



#### 2022 RTEP Window 3 - Objective

- Develop solutions to address all (if any) new criteria violations generated as a consequence of proposed solution. Solutions to these secondary violations are required for the proposal to be considered.
- Adhere to all applicable planning criteria, including PJM, NERC, SERC, RFC and Local Transmission Owner Criteria.



#### 2022 RTEP Window 3 - Requirements

- Holistic solutions are to be designed such that they are robust and expandable as the load grows within the area.
- A scalable solution ensures, at a minimum, near-term reliability needs are addressed while also enabling future expansion (beyond the 2027-28 baseline levels) as data center load increases in the Dominion and APS zones.
  - Consider flexibility, robustness and scalability of 2027-28-baseline solutions against the Interim 2027-28 Summer, Winter and Light Load basecases.
  - Evaluate proposals for their effectiveness towards existing reactive interfaces in the area, particularly those supporting the Dominion and APS zones.
  - Evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed solutions towards the transmission system load deliverability into the Dominion and APS zones (CETL).



### 2022 RTEP Window 3 – Regional/Transfer Needs



#### 2022 RTEP Window 3 – Regional/Transfer Needs





#### 2022 RTEP Window 3 – Local / Regional Needs



### 2022 RTEP Window 3 – Assumptions 1/3

#### Preliminary Reactive Support:

- The provided 2027-28 basecases include a set of reactive VAR support at existing/proposed substations to partially meet the system reactive load demand particularly under system normal, N-0 conditions.
- Already included at specific locations as indicated in PJM's 2022 RTEP Window 3 Problem Statement document.
- They do not imply final VAR level, type or location.
  - Only adequate for need analysis purposes.
  - Should be removed and replaced consistent with an entity's proposal to provide the necessary VAR support.
- PJM expects that proposals will provide for the needed reactive VAR support through either transmission development or static/dynamic VAR support devices or a combination of both.
- A number of non-convergent contingencies due to deficient VAR support and excessive reactive power loss in the 2027-28 modeling basecases.
- Based on the preliminary VAR resource assumptions in the cases, the provided voltage performance results are for guiding purposes only.
  - Proposal developers are to re-evaluate the voltage performance part of their proposal development to ensure compliance with voltage performance requirements.



### 2022 RTEP Window 3 – Assumptions 2/3

- Basecase N-0 thermal Violations:
  - 2027-28 baseline case(s) show thermal violations along the Peach Bottom-Conastone and Conastone-Brighton 500kV paths.
  - These 500 kV segments are also showing marginal overloads approaching 98% to 100% under N-1 conditions for the baseline summer conditions.
- Non-Converged N-1 Contingencies:
  - Key contingencies lead to voltage collapse on the 500kV system due to insufficient transmission system transfer capability and VAR support inadequacy.
  - Following development of VAR support enhancements, thermal loading on all system elements needs to be monitored and overloads, if any, need to be addressed part of the proposed solutions.



### 2022 RTEP Window 3 – Assumptions 3/3

#### • N-1-1 Analysis;

- Due to the significant load growth presented in the baseline 2027-28 basecases, a large number of N-1-1 contingencies lead to unsolvable security constraint redispatch conditions.
- Hence, the provided N-1-1 results are to be revaluated as part of the proposal solution development process.
- PJM aims provide the interim 2027-28 basecase N-1-1 results by early March and no later than March 6<sup>th</sup> 2023 on the PJM website in the competitive planning section.
- Load Deliverability analysis:
  - PJM requires the proposed solutions are evaluated for their effectiveness towards load deliverability into the APS and Dominion zones.
  - PJM will provide the load deliverability modeling instructions and parameters during the week of March 6<sup>th</sup>.



#### 2022 RTEP Window 3 - Transfer Needs

### • Non Converging Contingencies (Voltage Stability)

Contingency Name	Cont. Type	Analysis Type	Error Type
AP_P1-2_PE-500-001_SRT-SL	Single	Voltage Drop	Blown Up
AP_P1-2_WP-500-DRT17_SRT-SL	Single	Voltage Drop	Blown Up
PJM_500_BG_P1_5011_SRT-A	Single	Voltage Drop	Blown Up
AP_P2-3_PE-500-015_SRT-A	Line_FB	Voltage Drop	Blown Up
AP_P2-3_PE-500-016_SRT-SL	Line_FB	Voltage Drop	Blown Up
AP_P2-3_PE-500-018C_SRT-SL	Line_FB	Voltage Drop	Blown Up
AP_P2-3_PE-500-018D_SRT-SL	Line_FB	Voltage Drop	Blown Up
AP_P2-3_PE-500-019D_SRT-SL	Line_FB	Voltage Drop	Blown Up





Facilitator: Sue Glatz, <u>Suzanne.Glatz@pjm.com</u>

Secretary: Tarik Bensala, Tarik <u>Bensala@pjm.com</u>

SME/Presenter: Sami Abdulsalam, <u>Sami.Abdulsalam@pjm.com</u>

**Reliability Analysis Update** 

Member Hotline (610) 666 – 8980 (866) 400 – 8980 custsvc@pjm.com

