

Path Forward

Stakeholder Process “Super Forum”
July 25, 2018

Stop or Change

- Too many meetings
- Prioritize issues
- *Focus less on reaching agreement?*
- *Balance competing interests?*
- *Balance of power and voting methodology issues?*

Start or New Ideas

- Different process for contentious / time sensitive issues
- Eliminate circumvention of process
- Time limits on issues
- Limit “filibustering”

Continue

- Fully vet issues
- Develop multiple solutions
- Staff provide technical expertise and analysis
- Staff advocate for technically sound reliability solutions
- Staff advocate for competitive and robust market solutions
- Staff/management should clearly state strong opinions
- Staff facilitation

Stop or Change

- Too many meetings
- Prioritize issues
- Focus less on reaching agreement?
- Balance competing interests?
- Balance of power and voting methodology issues?

Start or New Ideas

- Different process for contentious / time sensitive issues
- Eliminate circumvention of process
- Time limits on issues
- Limit “filibustering”

Continue

- Fully vet issues
- Develop multiple solutions
- Staff provide technical expertise and analysis
- Staff advocate for technically sound reliability solutions
- Staff advocate for competitive and robust market solutions
- Staff/management should clearly state strong opinions
- Staff facilitation

Stop or Change

- Consider a new type of process for issues that are big dollar issues or issues where consensus is unlikely to be reached or a tight timeline exists
- How to handle motions brought up for the first time at sr. committees
- More discipline in sector selection – oversight of the process
- Discussion on the role of PJM staff on proposing solutions versus the role of members
- Thoughtful about scheduling of meetings > find ways to ensure that we have time to have offline discussions
- Be thoughtful about cutting off meaningful discussions
- Information overload
- Ending discussions and setting aside time for q & a
- Meeting recording policy
- Greater judgment on when manual language is presented simultaneously at a standing and sr. standing committee
- Consistent implementation of Robert's Rule in stakeholder process
- Interrelated issues being discussed at different groups in silos
- Appreciate the diversity of the Members and not schedule meetings on religious holidays.
- Prioritization

Start or New Ideas

- Limiting number of topics stakeholders are working at a time
- Cost benefit analysis for proposals
- Bifurcate smaller issues > batch similar topics and work together during the year (including staff/board action if necessary for expedited pathway)
- Allow multiple proposals to come up to sr. standing committees from to standing committees with a lower threshold
- Develop motions of parody
- Allow status quo to be an option on par with other proposals
- Enhance CBIR process to deal with OATT/OA changes and legal issues
- Consistent process when FERC has an ongoing proceeding
- Real-time feedback from stakeholders regarding topics being covered and whether there is understanding of the issues
- Start with more fundamental education
- PJM staff reach out to thought leaders are member organizations between meetings
- Transparency of voting reports
- Upfront vetting of issues for operational and legal compliance with agreements (OA, CTOA, Tariff) as well as FERC and other legal precedents before stakeholder process goes down a wasted path.

- Consider a new type of process for issues that are big dollar issues or issues where consensus is unlikely to be reached or a tight timeline exists
- How to handle motions brought up for the first time at sr. committees
- More discipline in sector selection – oversight of the process
- Discussion on the role of PJM staff on proposing solutions versus the role of members
- Thoughtful about scheduling of meetings > find ways to ensure that we time to have offline discussions
- Be thoughtful about cutting off meaningful discussions
- Information overload
- Ending discussions and setting aside time for q & a
- Meeting recording policy
- Greater judgment on when manual language is presented simultaneously at a standing and sr. standing committee
- Consistent implementation of Robert's Rule in stakeholder process
- Interrelated issues being discussed at different groups in silos
- Appreciate the diversity of the Members and not schedule meetings on religious holidays.
- Thoughts on how we operate with state interests

- Prioritization
- Limiting number of topics stakeholders are working at a time
- Cost benefit analysis for proposals
- Bifurcate smaller issues > batch similar topics and work together during the year (including staff/board action if necessary for expedited pathway)
- Allow multiple proposals to come up to sr. standing committees from to standing committees with a lower threshold
- Develop motions of parody
- Allow status quo to be an option on par with other proposals
- Enhance CBIR process to deal with OATT/OA changes and legal issues
- Consistent process when FERC has an ongoing proceeding
- Real-time feedback from stakeholders regarding topics being covered and whether there is understanding of the issues
- Start with more fundamental education
- PJM staff reach out to thought leaders are member organizations between meetings
- Transparency of voting reports
- Upfront vetting of issues for operational and legal compliance with agreements (OA, CTOA, Tariff) as well as FERC and other legal precedents before stakeholder process goes down a wasted path.

- Fully vet issues
- Develop multiple solutions
- Staff provide technical expertise and analysis
- Staff advocate for technically sound reliability solutions
- Staff advocate for competitive and robust market solutions
- Staff/management should clearly state strong opinions
- Staff facilitation