



Results Only Reports

February 2023 IPS

Stephen Berger

Sr. Engineer

Interconnection Analysis

- AF1/AF2/AG1 SIS “Results Only” Reports to be issued by April 30 for Queue customers that are in the SIS phase of study who have not received their Original SIS reports
- These load flow results are being provided to give you the most up to date load flow information on your current SIS study results as we approach transition to the process reform
- These load flow results are not final reports and will not be posted to the PJM website


- “Results Only” Report Sections



Introduction
General
Summer Peak Analysis
Summer Potential Congestion due to Local Energy
Deliverability
Light Load Analysis
Light Load Potential Congestion due to Local Energy
Deliverability
System Reinforcements
Attachments

- Results Only Report Main Differences

A screenshot of a PJM report's table of contents. The PJM logo is at the top left. Below it is a horizontal line with a green segment on the left and a grey segment on the right. A grey rectangular box contains a list of report sections. The section "System Reinforcements" is highlighted with a red rectangular border.

The PJM logo, featuring a stylized blue and white graphic of a person or a signal wave to the left of the lowercase letters "pjm" in a grey sans-serif font.

- Introduction
- General
- Summer Peak Analysis
- Summer Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability
- Light Load Analysis
- Light Load Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability
- System Reinforcements**
- Attachments

- “Normal” Report Reinforcement Section – Includes Cost Allocation

RTEP ID	Title	Total Cost	Time Estimate
(TBD)	Rebuild 5 miles of 230 kV Line 2028 from Fork Union to AF2-397 Tap with 2-795 ACSR 150 C.	\$12,500,000	36 - 42 Months

AG1- is a **Contributor**

Flowgates Addressed by this Reinforcement	
Facility	Contingency
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(Any)

New Ratings				
Facility	Rating Set	Rating Type	Rating Value	
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(All)	A	1174.0 MVA	
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(All)	B	1225.0 MVA	
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(All)	C	1360.0 MVA	

Cost Allocation			
Queue Project	MW Impact	Percent Allocation	Allocated Cost (\$USD)
AG1-	8.3 MW	13.0%	\$1,629,149
AG1-	11.1 MW	17.5%	\$2,181,337
AG1-	8.9 MW	14.0%	\$1,746,636
AG1-	20.1 MW	31.5%	\$3,934,630
AG1-	15.4 MW	24.1%	\$3,008,248

- “Results Only” Report Reinforcement Section – No Cost Allocation
- The total cost of each reinforcement will be included

RTEP ID	Title	Total Cost	Time Estimate
(TBD)	Rebuild 5 miles of 230 kV Line 2028 from Fork Union to AF2-397 Tap with 2-795 ACSR 150 C.	\$12,500,000	36 - 42 Months

AG1 is a **Contributor**

Flowgates Addressed by this Reinforcement	
Facility	Contingency
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(Any)

New Ratings			
Facility	Rating Set	Rating Type	Rating Value
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(All)	A	1174.0 MVA
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(All)	B	1225.0 MVA
6FORK UNION-AF2-397 TAP 230.0 kV Ckt 1 line	(All)	C	1360.0 MVA

- Analysis Section – No change from Normal Reports

Summer Peak Analysis

The Queue Project was evaluated as a 0.0 MW (Capacity 10.0 MW) injection in the area. Project was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Potential Summer peak period network impacts were as follows:

Area	Facility Description	Contingency Name	Contingency Type	DC AC	Initial Loading	Final Loading	Rating (MVA)	Rating Type	MVA to Mitigate	MW Contribution	Details
AP	01DANSMTN-01RIDGLY 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-3-MP-138-150	Breaker	AC	135.92 %	137.94 %	182.0	B	251.06	2.26	Details
AP	01DANSMTN-01RIDGLY 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-3-MP-138-160	Breaker	AC	135.05 %	137.1 %	182.0	B	249.52	2.31	Details
AP	01DANSMTN-01RIDGLY 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-3-MP-138-161	Breaker	AC	135.04 %	137.09 %	182.0	B	249.5	2.31	Details
AP	01DANSMTN-01RIDGLY 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-4-MP-138-200_NON	Breaker	AC	111.23 %	112.63 %	182.0	B	204.99	2.31	Details
AP	01DANSMTN-01RIDGLY 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-3-MP-138-159_NON	Breaker	AC	110.96 %	112.36 %	182.0	B	204.49	2.31	Details
AP	01CARLOS-01DANSMTN 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-3-MP-138-150	Breaker	AC	105.82 %	106.98 %	182.0	B	194.71	2.26	Details
AP	01CARLOS-01DANSMTN 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-3-MP-138-160	Breaker	AC	105.16 %	106.35 %	182.0	B	193.56	2.31	Details
AP	01CARLOS-01DANSMTN 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line	FE-P2-3-MP-138-161	Breaker	AC	105.14 %	106.33 %	182.0	B	193.53	2.31	Details

- Analysis Section – No change from Normal Reports

Details for 01DANSMTN-01RIDGLY 138.0 kV Ckt 1 line l/o FE-P2-3-MP-138-150

Bus #	Bus Name	Type	Full MW Contribution	GenDeliv MW Contribution
962292	AG1-	50/50	0.42 MW	0.42 MW
962501	AG1-	Adder	1.71 MW	1.46 MW
962651	AG1-	50/50	2.26 MW	2.26 MW
963541	AG1-	50/50	0.75 MW	0.75 MW
963542	AG1-	50/50	0.4 MW	0.4 MW
963561	AG1-	50/50	1.47 MW	1.47 MW
963562	AG1-	50/50	0.79 MW	0.79 MW
966512	AG1-	Adder	0.29 MW	0.25 MW
200813	26YOUGH	50/50	0.38 MW	0.38 MW
200834	26SW_E13_K22	50/50	0.02 MW	0.02 MW
200840	26DEEPCRK1	50/50	0.89 MW	0.89 MW
200841	26DEEPCRK2	50/50	0.89 MW	0.89 MW
200889	26STNY CRK	50/50	0.15 MW	0.15 MW
200890	26BF_G21_K23	50/50	0.19 MW	0.19 MW

- “Results Only” Report Sections
 - Provide Queue customers with latest load flow analysis including Summer Peak and Light Load
 - Provides the Reinforcements associated with the Summer Peak and Light Load Overloads (No Cost Allocation is the only difference for this section)



- Introduction
- General
- Summer Peak Analysis
- Summer Potential Congestion due to Local Energy
- Deliverability
- Light Load Analysis
- Light Load Potential Congestion due to Local Energy
- Deliverability
- System Reinforcements
- Attachments

Presenter:

Stephen Berger, Sr. Engineer,
Interconnection Analysis

Stephen.Berger@pjm.com



Member Hotline

(610) 666 – 8980

(866) 400 – 8980

custsvc@pjm.com