

EMUSTF Expedited Items Voting Results


February 25, 2015

2 Objective Function: Transmission Planning

- Add enhancement to operational performance that would allow us to capture contributors to uplift.
- Provide transparency to triggers for operational performance and market efficiency
- Add scenario to RTEP modeling process (for high uplift)
- make sure that we capture the benefits and costs of reactive service devices appropriately

**NOTE: This issue will need to be brought back to the MRC as a recommendation and further vetted by the appropriate committee as this is not in the scope of this group.

Can you support this recommendation?



20 Unique Respondents; 116 Voting Companies


– 5 – LOC Credit Methodology

1. Use the schedule the resource is committed on for energy as the reference for LOC unless the resource is self-scheduled. If self-scheduled, maintain the status quo. – Applies to energy, reactive and CT LOC
2. LOC for reactive services and energy should be an integration up the curve as opposed to (LMP – Offer) *
Reduced MW – Applies to energy, reactive and CT LOC

– 5a – LOC for resources committed in DA but not run in RT


1. Include startup and no-load costs in the DA offer used to calculate CT LOC.
2. Change the eligibility of this payment to resources that meet the defined operational criteria rather than just falling in an asset class.

Can you support making these changes to the lost opportunity cost calculations?



20 Unique Respondents; 116 Voting Companies

Do you wish to make a change, or do you prefer to maintain the status quo?



20 Unique Respondents; 116 Voting Companies