



EQSTF Package Summary

- Prior to the February 26 meeting, there were two packages. These packages differed only for Design Component 2 (Deficiency Review)
 - Package A: Status Quo - Deficiency Review performed after assignment of queue position
 - Package B: Option B - PJM performs deficiency review when all elements (including deposit), except site control, are submitted.
- PJM presented tariff language at 2/26 meeting using Package B

- After 2/26 Education on timeline impacts, stakeholders expressed a preference for Option E for Design Component 8
 - Start date for feasibility study shifted by 5 months
- Stakeholders also expressed interest to revise the existing option for Design Component 3
 - Change to not allow projects that have not cleared deficiencies to slide to the next queue, but instead be terminated and withdrawn



Design Component 1: Assignment of Priority of Applicants

Status Quo	A (PJM Preferred Package)	B (PJM Acceptable Package)	C (Post 2/26 Meeting)
Queue priority based on date of application and deposit. Becomes an accepted queue position once all deficiencies are cleared.	Option A: Queue priority based on submission of all required elements including site control.	Option A: Queue priority based on submission of all required elements including site control.	Option A: Queue priority based on submission of all required elements including site control.

Status Quo	A (PJM Preferred Package)	B (PJM Acceptable Package)	C (Post 2/26 Meeting)
<p>Deficiency review performed after assignment of queue position.</p>	<p>Status Quo: Deficiency review performed after assignment of queue position.</p>	<p>Option B: PJM performs deficiency review when all elements (including deposit), except site control, are submitted.</p>	<p>Option B: PJM performs deficiency review when all elements (including deposit), except site control, are submitted.</p>



Design Component 3: Criteria for Inclusion in Feasibility Studies

Status Quo	A (PJM Preferred Package)	B (PJM Acceptable Package)	C (Post 2/26 Meeting)
<p>All applications within queue that cleared deficiencies within Tariff timing are included in Feasibility Studies</p> <p>Applications that do not clear deficiencies within the time period are withdrawn.</p>	<p>Option D: Projects that have not cleared deficiencies by close of the queue will slide to the next queue. Projects that have cleared deficiencies will be included.</p>	<p>Option D: Projects that have not cleared deficiencies by close of the queue will slide to the next queue. Projects that have cleared deficiencies will be included.</p>	<p>Option D: Projects that have not cleared deficiencies by close of the queue will be terminated and required to enter the next queue slide to the next queue. Projects that have cleared deficiencies will be included.</p>



Design Component 4: Timing (PJM review of applications, scoping meetings, other Tariff-specified windows)

Status Quo	A (PJM Preferred Package)	B (PJM Acceptable Package)	C (Post 2/26 Meeting)
Queue Window - 6 months Application review - 5 bus days Deficiency review - 10 bus days PJM reviews response - 5 bus days Scoping - 45/30/20 days (based on date of application)	Option A: Changing Scoping Meeting deadline to be based on deficiencies cleared (or application accepted). Applications that slide will have 45 days for Scoping meeting.	Option A: Changing Scoping Meeting deadline to be based on deficiencies cleared (or application accepted). Applications that slide will have 45 days for Scoping meeting.	Option A: Changing Scoping Meeting deadline to be based on deficiencies cleared (or application accepted). Applications that slide will have 45 days for Scoping meeting.



Design Component 5: Fee structure for application processing

Status Quo	A (PJM Preferred Package)	B (PJM Acceptable Package)	C (Post 2/26 Meeting)
None. Deposits provided become available for charging after the queue position is accepted.	Option A: Deposit submitted with application becomes available for charging for PJM application review. Uncleared applications will have all unspent deposit returned.	Option A: Deposit submitted with application becomes available for charging for PJM application review. Uncleared applications will have all unspent deposit returned.	Option A: Deposit submitted with application becomes available for charging for PJM application review. Uncleared applications will have all unspent deposit returned.

Status Quo	A (PJM Preferred Package)	B (PJM Acceptable Package)	C (Post 2/26 Meeting)
<p>Tiered based on application date and size. Refundable/Non refundable for large generation and merchant transmission requests</p>	<p>Option C: Refundable/Non-refundable fee based on a fixed percentage. (percentage to be determined later). Fee structures remain as status quo. Refundable portion is spent first.</p>	<p>Option C: Refundable/Non-refundable fee based on a fixed percentage. (percentage to be determined later). Fee structures remain as status quo. Refundable portion is spent first.</p>	<p>Option C: Refundable/Non-refundable fee based on a fixed percentage. (percentage to be determined later). Fee structures remain as status quo. Refundable portion is spent first.</p>



Design Component 8: Feasibility start and end date

Status Quo	A (PJM Preferred Package)	B (PJM Acceptable Package)	C (Post 2/26 Meeting)
Feas studies start Dec 1 and June 1 (3 month duration)	Option B: Duration remains as 3 months, start date shifts by 2 months	Option B: Duration remains as 3 months, start date shifts by 2 months	Option E: Duration remains as 3 months, start date shifts by 5 months