

In accordance with Part VIII, Subpart I, section 436(b) of the PJM Tariff, set forth below are the PJM Transmission Owners' general, non-binding indications as to whether they intend to fund the capital costs of Network Upgrades.

<b>PJM Transmission Owners</b>	<b>Indication for Self-Funding (Yes or No)</b>	<b>Notes</b>
AEP	Yes	AEP intends to start considering the funding of network upgrades, in accordance with the proposed provisions in Part VIII, Subpart I, section 436(b) of the PJM Tariff, when there is regulatory certainty with respect to those provisions.
AES Ohio	Yes	Decision pending outcome of on-going litigation.
Duke	Yes	Duke will delay self-funding until FERC's determination is known regarding the paper hearing established in Docket No. ER21-2282.
Duquesne	Yes	Decision pending outcome of on-going litigation.
EKPC	No	EKPC is an electric cooperative and does not intend to fund network upgrades not associated with EKPC generation at this time.
Exelon	Yes	
FirstEnergy	Yes	Decision pending outcome of on-going litigation.
PSE&G	Yes	Decision pending outcome of on-going litigation.
PPL	Yes	Decision pending outcome of on-going litigation.
Rockland	Yes	RECO's initial decision to fund and earn a return on upgrades is subject to the outcome of the ongoing litigation in Docket ER21-2282.
UGI	Yes	Decision pending outcome of on-going litigation.
Virginia Electric and Power Company	Yes	VEPCO's decision to fund the capital costs of certain Network Upgrades is subject to the outcome of ongoing litigation and regulatory proceedings.